Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 2/25/2014, 2/26/2014, 2/27/2014	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Belleville/E. St. Louis	
Location of Audit: Belleville	
Exit Meeting Contact:	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Valerie Schwing	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION				
Construction P	erformed By:			
Ameren				
•				
Person(s) On Job Site				
Brent Siebert	Steve Schulein			
Nate Capps	Dan Howland			
	Construction P Ameren Person(s) On Job Site Brent Siebert			

Description of Construction:

Staff observed Ameren employees preforming excavation activities to retire 650 feet of 2 inch main and 448 feet of 2 inch plastic service. The Ameren crew was also relocating an existing service.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60 PSI
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 1
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	2 inch

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/2-27-2014

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	ASTM D 2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	DriscoPlex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406-2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	DR 11
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	
General Comment:	vveluer qualification date.	Not Applicable
Staff did not witness any welding.		
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not witness any welding.		
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not witness any joining.		
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not witness any joining.		
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff did not witness any joining		
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The 2 inch steel main that was exposed did have the re	quired coating.	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the specs on the job site which indicated	there would be a 17 # anode for the steel pipe and a 5# anode for the tracer wire	
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	Yes
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Satisfactory
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Satisfactory
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

	needed?	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Satisfactory
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff witnessed plastic pipe being protected with room	ck shield and sand being used to backfill the trenches.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff witnessed plastic pipe being protected with room	ck shield and sand being used to backfill the trenches.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u>'</u>	
Staff viewed a tracer wire that was installed with the	e plastic pipe.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory
Is required cover being obtained for the s	ervice line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff witnessed 30 inches of cover for the service lin	ne.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed testing documentation at the work si The pipe that was tested was 305 feet long.	te that took place on 2/21/14. The test pressure was 110 PSI that was held for one hou	ur and thirty minutes.
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed testing documentation at the work si The pipe that was tested was 305 feet long.	te that took place on 2/21/14. The test pressure was 110 PSI that was held for one hou	ır and thirty minutes.
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed Bob Brewer and Dan Howland quality	fications'.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being "directed and observed" by a qualified individual?	Satisfactory
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Satisfactory
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Dig start : 02/18/14 10:06:00 Expires : 03/12/14 23:59:00		
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	A0440434
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The service being installed was for a tr	ucking company.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.